

Factors Influencing Psychological Responses to Athletic Injury and Rehabilitation

Aleyda Espinoza^a & Seongkwan Cho^{b*}

^a*Undergraduate Student of Kinesiology, Department of Health Sciences,
Texas A&M International University, Laredo, TX, USA*

^b*Associate Professor of Kinesiology, Department of Health Sciences,
Texas A&M International University, Laredo, TX, USA*

Abstract

This paper reviews the psychological responses to athletic injuries and their impact on rehabilitation outcomes. Athletes' mental states, influenced by stress, motivation, self-efficacy, and social support, are critical to recovery. Emotional responses such as anxiety, depression, and fears of reinjury are particularly common among competitive athletes and those experiencing long-term or recurrent injuries, highlighting the need for psychological support alongside physical care. Grounded in stress and coping, social cognitive, and self-determination theories, this review explores how resilience, adaptive coping strategies, and self-efficacy promote successful recovery. Social support from coaches, teammates, family, and healthcare professionals is emphasized as essential for managing stress and sustaining athlete engagement. Practical approaches, including goal-setting, relaxation techniques, and open communication, are identified as effective tools for addressing psychological challenges and improving adherence to rehabilitation protocols. Tailored interventions that consider individual needs ensure better recovery outcomes and long-term well-being. The findings underscore the importance of integrating psychological strategies into rehabilitation programs. Addressing both mental and physical aspects of recovery enables athletes to overcome emotional barriers, build resilience, and achieve a sustainable return to sport and daily life.

Key words: athletic injury, rehabilitation, psychological responses, self-efficacy, coping strategies, social support, motivation, sport psychology

Introduction

Psychological responses to injuries are universal among athletes and can either facilitate or hinder the rehabilitation process, depending on various influencing factors (Masten et al., 2014; Smith, 1996). Athletes'

emotional and mental states during recovery go beyond physical rehabilitation and require continuous monitoring to address potential setbacks (Clement et al., 2013; Crossman, 1997). Negative emotions can impede recovery, whereas fostering positive emotions has been shown to enhance rehabilitation outcomes (Brewer, 1998; Sáez de Heredia et al., 2004).

Factors such as stress, depression, personality traits, and coping resources are pivotal in shaping athletes' psychological responses to injury and can directly

impact the recovery trajectory (Brewer, 1998; Hanson et al., 1992; Masten et al., 2014). Self-perception and confidence are central to an athlete's ability to navigate the rehabilitation process successfully (Smith, 1996; Ardern et al., 2013). Evidence suggests that athletes seeking psychological support during recovery demonstrate improved resilience and a more positive outlook on rehabilitation (Hamson-Utley et al., 2008; Clement et al., 2013). When approached realistically, goal setting is crucial for sustaining motivation and fostering accountability throughout rehabilitation (Evans & Hardy, 2002; Nippert & Smith, 2008).

Mental engagement is essential for successful recovery. For comprehensive athlete well-being, healthcare professionals must prioritize monitoring both physical and mental health during rehabilitation (Crossman, 1997; Clement et al., 2015). Sport psychology consultants play a critical role in assisting athletes with the emotional challenges of injury recovery, providing techniques for managing stress, anxiety, and motivation (Mann et al., 2007; Beauchamp et al., 2019). Unfortunately, athletes who lack access to mental health resources often struggle to cope, underscoring the importance of providing access to sport psychology consultants, counselors, and therapists (Cohen et al., 2010).

Psychological responses to injury frequently involve fears of reinjury and anxieties about returning to previous performance levels. Prolonged isolation from teammates and competition can heighten these concerns, adding to the psychological burden (Hsu et al., 2017; Covassin et al., 2015; Kvist & Filbay, 2022). Athletes experience a range of emotional stages during rehabilitation, each requiring attention to foster a successful recovery process (McVeigh & Pack, 2015; Tracey, 2003).

Injuries can negatively affect self-esteem and contribute to various psychological challenges (Smith et al., 1990). Nevertheless, adaptive coping mechanisms, such as stress management, seeking professional support, and setting achievable goals, have effectively promoted psychological resilience during recovery (Nippert & Smith, 2008; Brewer, 1998). Engaging sport psychology consultants and other health professionals

is essential in fostering a supportive recovery environment (Brewer et al., 1994). Continuous monitoring of an athlete's psychological state is vital, as mental health fluctuations can significantly impact recovery outcomes (Reese et al., 2012; Masten et al., 2014).

This review aims to explore the psychological factors influencing athletes' responses to injury and rehabilitation, an area often overshadowed by the emphasis on physical recovery. Despite growing evidence of their significance, mental and emotional states remain underexamined, even though they play a pivotal role in shaping recovery outcomes. Thus, specifically, this review paper discusses key aspects such as emotional responses, coping strategies, stress management, and the role of sport psychology consultants in fostering resilience and overall psychological well-being. By synthesizing findings from prior research, this review highlights the importance of continuous psychological monitoring throughout rehabilitation and advocates for a holistic approach that integrates mental and physical health. Recognizing the importance of addressing these factors, sports medicine staff and athletic institutions must ensure access to psychological support to meet the emotional challenges of recovery effectively and maximize recovery outcomes (Walker et al., 2007; Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Mann et al., 2007; Clement et al., 2013; Heaney, 2006; Podlog & Eklund, 2007).

Psychological Factors in Injury

Athletes experience a range of emotional responses to injury, with common reactions including depression and anxiety, often triggered by missing practices or competitions (Smith, 1996). The inability to engage in daily sports can heighten emotional distress, as athletes may feel disconnected from their athletic identity (Smith, 1996). Addressing anxiety is essential, as it can contribute to unhealthy coping behaviors, including disordered eating, stemming from concerns about physical fitness during recovery (Turner et al., 2017). Athletes may also experience anxiety about losing their team position or scholarship, adding further stress to

the rehabilitation process (Smith et al., 1990). An effective rehabilitation approach involves acknowledging and addressing these emotional responses to promote long-term psychological and physical recovery (Covassin et al., 2014).

Cognitive appraisals play a central role in how athletes interpret and understand their injury experiences, and they influence psychological responses by linking thoughts with emotional reactions (Walker et al., 2007). Individual interpretations of injury through cognitive appraisals explain why emotional responses vary among athletes, with each person reacting uniquely to their circumstances (Clement et al., 2015). Personal and situational factors shape cognitive appraisals, influencing the emotional responses athletes experience during rehabilitation (Brewer, 1994).

Depression is a critical emotional factor that can profoundly affect the rehabilitation process. Injured athletes may feel a sense of failure due to missed opportunities, especially during a lengthy recovery process (Smith, 1996). This perception is particularly strong among athletes whose injuries end their careers, as they may feel unsupported by teammates and coaches (Nippert & Smith, 2008). Mental health is paramount in rehabilitation, requiring healthcare professionals to remain vigilant, as depression can result in serious outcomes, including suicidal ideation (Clement et al., 2013; Brewer, 1994).

Cognitive factors, particularly goal setting and motivation, are vital in rehabilitation. Although athletes may feel eager to expedite recovery, rushing can increase reinjury risk, underscoring the need for a structured approach (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Goal setting enables athletes to divide rehabilitation into achievable steps, fostering a focus on long-term recovery outcomes (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brinkman et al., 2020).

Personal factors, including a history of stressors, significantly shape an athlete's psychological response to injury. Past injuries, major life events, and daily stressors collectively influence their mental state during recovery (Ivarsson et al., 2017). Athletes with a history of traumatic injuries may recall these experiences upon sustaining a new injury, which can lead to heightened

frustration and stress (Ivarsson et al., 2017; Brewer, 1994). A comprehensive understanding of an athlete's history enables sports medicine staff and sport psychology consultants to tailor supportive approaches effectively (Nippert & Smith, 2008; Brewer, 1998).

Athletes frequently progress through distinct emotional stages post-injury, with the initial phase of injury recognition evoking the most intense emotional responses (Tracey, 2003; Bianco et al., 1999). Difficulty accepting an injury may impede recovery, as acceptance represents a foundational step toward effective rehabilitation (Tracey, 2003). Research indicates that fostering a positive mindset can substantially enhance rehabilitation outcomes, promoting resilience and adherence to recovery goals (Clement et al., 2015; Brewer, 1998).

Research on college athletes highlights the wide range of emotions following injury, with initial reactions including worry, fear, confusion, and denial; fear and anger are particularly prevalent (Smith et al., 1990; Brewer et al., 1994). Coaches frequently encourage injured athletes to attend practices, even when they cannot participate, to reduce feelings of isolation and maintain team connection (Smith, 1996; Bianco et al., 1999).

Psychological responses to injury are highly individual and influenced by situational factors; for instance, athletes uncertain of their position may experience greater frustration than those with secure roles (Clement et al., 2015; Ivarsson et al., 2017).

An important area for further research is the varied psychological responses to injury across athlete levels, specifically amateur, collegiate, and professional athletes. While existing literature addresses general psychological factors in recovery, less attention is given to how competition level uniquely influences these responses (Goddard et al., 2021; Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2014). Professional athletes, for instance, may face heightened emotional distress from financial pressures, career stability concerns, and intense media scrutiny (Goddard et al., 2021; Nicholls et al., 2016). Research indicates that professional athletes, more than their amateur and collegiate counterparts, are susceptible to heightened stress and anxiety due to pressures to

maintain performance, secure endorsements, and meet public expectations (Goddard et al., 2021; Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2015). Professional athletes also face distinct challenges with career-ending injuries, which can significantly impact their financial stability and long-term livelihood (Nicholls et al., 2016; Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2017). Media scrutiny can further exacerbate emotional strain among professional athletes, potentially prolonging recovery periods due to heightened psychological stress (Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2015; Lu & Hsu, 2013).

Perception is a crucial factor in athletes' responses to injury, with research showing that an athlete's view of their injury can determine whether their rehabilitation experience is positive or negative (Madrigal & Gill, 2014; Podlog & Eklund, 2010). Athletes who perceive injury as a setback often struggle with recovery, whereas those who view it as an opportunity for growth typically navigate rehabilitation more effectively (Madrigal & Gill, 2014).

Research on college athletes suggests that injury often correlates with lower self-esteem compared to uninjured peers, highlighting the psychological toll of injury on self-worth (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brewer, 1998). This highlights the profound impact injury can have on an athlete's psychological state, with injured athletes frequently reporting diminished self-worth. Such psychological effects can persist even post-recovery, indicating that the emotional toll of injury may endure beyond physical healing (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brewer, 1994).

Although negative psychological responses are frequent, some athletes successfully maintain a positive outlook throughout rehabilitation, which can significantly benefit their recovery (Brewer, 1998; Bianco et al., 1999). Optimistic athletes who adhere to rehabilitation routines are more likely to experience successful recovery, avoiding major mental and physical setbacks (Brewer, 1998; Evans & Hardy, 2002). An optimistic outlook during recovery can help preserve athletes' self-esteem, minimizing mental blocks that may hinder their return to sport (Brewer, 1998; Arden et al., 2013). Techniques like imagery can aid athletes in accepting their injuries, facilitating a smoother and more

psychologically grounded recovery (Madrigal & Gill, 2014; Rodriguez et al., 2019).

However, not all athletes navigate rehabilitation with ease, and the level of competition significantly influences individual responses to injury (Goddard et al., 2021; Ivarsson et al., 2017). Recreational athletes may easily adjust to injury, whereas Division I college athletes often experience heightened frustration due to the greater stakes and pressure involved (Goddard et al., 2021; Podlog & Eklund, 2010). The intense pressures associated with professional competition can amplify emotional responses, particularly frustration and anxiety, due to career and performance expectations (Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2017; Goddard et al., 2021). Regardless of competition level, validating athletes' psychological responses is crucial to supporting effective rehabilitation outcomes (Mann et al., 2007; Podlog & Eklund, 2007).

Social support and the surrounding environment are critical to shaping athletes' psychological responses to injury, significantly impacting their recovery journey (Clement et al., 2015; Lu & Hsu, 2013). Support from teammates and coaches is essential, as athletes with robust social networks are more likely to maintain positive attitudes toward rehabilitation (Clement et al., 2015; Lu & Hsu, 2013). In contrast, athletes lacking adequate social support frequently struggle to maintain optimism throughout the rehabilitation process (Brewer, 1994; Podlog et al., 2013). Research consistently shows that social support during rehabilitation is vital in promoting athletes' emotional and psychological recovery (Lu & Hsu, 2013; Bianco et al., 1999).

Psychological Theories

Numerous psychological theories enhance our understanding of athletes' responses to injury and rehabilitation, emphasizing how mental resilience and coping strategies shape recovery. Developed through extensive research, these theories connect key factors such as stress, coping strategies, and emotional resilience to athletes' recovery responses (Brewer, 1994; Podlog & Eklund, 2010). Notable theories (e.g., self-determination theory, social cognitive theory, and

stress and coping theory) are particularly relevant to sport psychology, offering valuable insights for practitioners supporting athletes through injury recovery (Carr, 2006; Podlog & Eklund, 2010).

A central theory in this field, stress and coping theory, addresses how athletes navigate the emotional pressures of injury by employing strategies to manage stress and maintain mental resilience (Nippert & Smith, 2008; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Given that stress frequently arises from injury-related pressures, this theory offers coping strategies such as seeking social support, practicing self-acceptance, and employing emotional self-control to mitigate these effects (Lu & Hsu, 2013; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). For instance, an athlete facing high stress from injury may pursue therapy or counseling to build emotional resilience and coping skills (Madrigal & Gill, 2014). Effectively managing emotions, rather than allowing them to dominate, can reduce the adverse effects of stress and promote a more constructive rehabilitation experience (Brewer, 1998; Nippert & Smith, 2008).

Furthermore, stress and coping theory aligns closely with athletes' psychological responses throughout rehabilitation, offering a framework to interpret varying responses to injury-related challenges (Brewer, 1994; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Athletes may respond positively or negatively to injury challenges, depending on the strength of their coping mechanisms and levels of emotional resilience (Arderm et al., 2012; Podlog et al., 2013). Recognizing these varied responses enables sport psychology professionals to guide athletes more effectively through the complexities of rehabilitation (Arderm et al., 2012; Podlog & Eklund, 2007).

Social cognitive theory, a key framework in sport psychology, emphasizes the role of mental skills training in helping athletes manage stress and enhance resilience (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Carr, 2006). According to social cognitive theory, athletes can cultivate coping skills like positive self-talk and cognitive restructuring to navigate challenging situations effectively (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Latinjak et al., 2019). Social cognitive theory posits that individuals with high self-efficacy (i.e., confidence in

their capacity to succeed) are more likely to employ positive coping mechanisms in the face of barriers (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Connolly et al., 2014). In sport psychology, self-efficacy, or an individual's belief in their ability to succeed, is pivotal as it directly influences motivation and recovery outcomes (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Athletes with low self-efficacy and reduced motivation may encounter difficulties in rehabilitation, potentially prolonging their recovery (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Brewer, 1998). This theory highlights positive self-talk as a crucial coping mechanism, enabling athletes to sustain motivation during challenging periods (Latinjak et al., 2019; Beauchamp et al., 2019). Additionally, visual imagery enables athletes to rehearse their goals mentally, enhancing focus on both immediate and long-term objectives (Rodriguez et al., 2019; Beauchamp et al., 2019).

Self-determination theory adds depth to our understanding of motivation and autonomy in the rehabilitation process, highlighting the importance of intrinsic motivation and a sense of control in athletes' recovery (Podlog & Eklund, 2007; Deci & Ryan, 2000). According to self-determination theory, athletes benefit from intrinsic motivation and a sense of control over their rehabilitation, which fosters a more positive outlook and greater adherence to recovery goals (Podlog & Eklund, 2007; Ryan & Deci, 2000). Coaches and athletic trainers play a pivotal role in fostering athletes' motivation by involving them in decision-making, thus enhancing feelings of autonomy and control over their rehabilitation journey (Podlog & Eklund, 2007; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Athletes who experience greater autonomy and control over their recovery are more likely to adhere to rehabilitation protocols, leading to improved recovery outcomes (Podlog & Eklund, 2007; Connolly et al., 2014).

Social support is integral to athletes' recovery, providing emotional and motivational reinforcement that facilitates adherence to rehabilitation protocols (Podlog et al., 2013; Lu & Hsu, 2013). Athletes who receive support from coaches, teammates, and family members often experience accelerated recovery and sustained motivation, underscoring the critical role of

social networks in rehabilitation (Podlog et al., 2013; Brewer, 1994). Social support theory highlights the significance of a robust support network in assisting athletes to navigate the emotional and psychological challenges of recovery (Podlog et al., 2013; Brewer, 1994). A perceived lack of social support can hinder athletes' motivation, underscoring the importance of a supportive environment from coaches and healthcare professionals throughout rehabilitation (Podlog et al., 2013; Lu & Hsu, 2013).

In summary, stress and coping, social cognitive, and self-determination theories provide distinct insights into the psychological processes influencing athletes' responses to injury and rehabilitation (Brewer, 1994; Podlog & Eklund, 2007). The stress and coping theory highlights how athletes navigate the emotional challenges of injury through stress management and adaptive coping strategies (Brewer, 1994; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Elevated stress levels can complicate the rehabilitation process by impairing athletes' motivation and cognitive focus, underscoring the need for effective coping mechanisms (Brewer, 1994; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Addressing these stressors is essential for coaches and healthcare professionals to support a smoother recovery process, with targeted strategies to reduce anxiety and foster resilience (Christakou & Lavalley, 2009; Podlog & Eklund, 2007). By understanding and integrating these psychological theories into rehabilitation programs, sports professionals can more effectively guide athletes through challenges and support their return to competition (Christakou & Lavalley, 2009; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Research

Extensive research has investigated the psychological dimensions of athletic injury, particularly emphasizing self-efficacy (i.e., an athlete's confidence in their ability to complete rehabilitation tasks), which is critical for overcoming challenges during recovery (Connolly et al., 2014; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Higher self-efficacy in athletes is associated with faster recovery rates and a more optimistic perspective on their rehabilitation, fostering a more effective and streamlined recovery

process (Connolly et al., 2014; Brewer, 1998). The development of self-efficacy can be supported through coping strategies like goal setting, which aids athletes in maintaining focus on their recovery objectives (Ardern et al., 2013; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Thus, fostering self-efficacy is essential for optimizing rehabilitation outcomes, as it directly influences an athlete's resilience and engagement in the recovery process (Connolly et al., 2014; Brewer, 1998).

Emotional responses to injury, especially stress and related coping mechanisms, have been extensively studied in sport psychology as critical factors in the rehabilitation process (Smith & Smoll, 1996; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Athletes utilizing coping strategies, such as seeking social support, demonstrated improved rehabilitation outcomes and reduced stress levels (Podlog et al., 2013; Santi & Pietrantonio, 2013). Effective stress management techniques have been shown to enhance athletes' overall rehabilitation experiences by mitigating negative emotions and fostering resilience (Tracey, 2003; Brewer, 1994).

Extensive research has focused on psychological interventions in rehabilitation, particularly those based on social cognitive theory. These interventions include cognitive restructuring, mindfulness practices, social support, and positive self-talk, each shown to effectively reduce stress during rehabilitation (Reese et al., 2012; Beauchamp et al., 2019). Beyond stress relief, these techniques have effectively reduced pain levels during the recovery process, thereby enhancing overall rehabilitation outcomes (Reese et al., 2012; Mohammed et al., 2018). Mental toughness is another essential psychological attribute that enables athletes to navigate recovery challenges with resilience and persistence (Johnson, 2000; Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2014). Athletes engaging in regular positive self-talk report improved outlooks on rehabilitation, contributing to more favorable and sustainable recovery outcomes (Beauchamp et al., 2019; Latinjak et al., 2019). The fear of reinjury is a critical psychological factor influencing recovery, with studies indicating that athletes who experience less fear achieve quicker and more effective recoveries (Mahood et al., 2020; Hsu et al., 2017).

Collectively, these studies provide important insights into the emotional challenges athletes encounter during injury and rehabilitation. Several models and theories within sport psychology offer structured frameworks for analyzing the factors shaping athletes' responses (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brewer, 1994). For example, the integrated model of response to sports injury demonstrates how inadequate social support can impede adherence to rehabilitation protocols, ultimately affecting recovery (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998). Though each model focuses on distinct elements of injury recovery, they consistently highlight the significance of psychological factors in shaping rehabilitation outcomes (Smith et al., 1990; Podlog & Eklund, 2007).

Models and theories in sport psychology provide valuable frameworks for understanding and addressing the emotional responses of athletes throughout their injury and rehabilitation processes (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brewer, 1994). Since each athlete's injury and psychological response are unique, tailoring rehabilitation to address their specific needs is crucial (Podlog & Eklund, 2010; Sáez de Heredia et al., 2004). Applying these theories can significantly enhance athletes' mental well-being, helping them manage both positive and negative emotional responses during recovery (Brewer et al., 1994; Sáez de Heredia et al., 2004). Small interventions, such as increasing social support, can substantially improve an athlete's recovery experience by enhancing their sense of connection and motivation (Clement et al., 2015; Lu & Hsu, 2013). While research has focused on athletes with complex injuries, it is important to recognize that coping strategies can benefit all injured athletes, irrespective of injury severity (Madrigal & Gill, 2014; Covassin et al., 2015).

Future research should examine psychological responses across different athlete groups, such as recreational and collegiate athletes, who face distinct pressures compared to their professional counterparts (Goddard et al., 2021; Ivarsson et al., 2017). Professional athletes frequently face strict timelines and intense training regimens, contrasting with the more flexible schedules of recreational athletes who may not

experience the same urgency to return to peak performance (Nicholls et al., 2016; Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2017). Broadening research to encompass diverse athlete populations will deepen understanding of how psychological factors impact recovery, offering insights into tailored interventions for different athletic levels (Covassin et al., 2015; Podlog & Eklund, 2007).

Practical Implications

Applying psychological theories and models to real-world situations is essential for sports medicine professionals, including athletic trainers, coaches, and physical therapists, as these frameworks enable a holistic approach to athlete care (Crossman, 2001). Research shows that familiarizing athletic trainers and coaches with psychological frameworks equips them to address both the physical recovery and mental health challenges athletes face during rehabilitation (Crossman, 2001; Brewer, 1994). Integrating psychological principles into athletes' daily routines aids in managing stress more effectively, contributing to a balanced recovery (Clement et al., 2015; Podlog & Eklund, 2007). Athletic trainers and coaches can prioritize both physical and mental health by fostering open communication and creating a supportive environment where athletes feel comfortable discussing their well-being (Clement et al., 2015; Lu & Hsu, 2013). Relaxation techniques, such as yoga and mindfulness, have proven effective in reducing stress and promoting both mental and physical relaxation in athletes (Mohammed et al., 2018; Beauchamp et al., 2019). Regular check-ins between coaches and athletes, whether in group settings or one-on-one, reinforce these practices, providing athletes with opportunities to discuss stress management strategies (Reese et al., 2012; Covassin et al., 2015).

Providing athletes with access to specialized mental health professionals, such as sport psychology consultants or counselors, is crucial for comprehensive recovery support (Carr, 2006; Podlog & Eklund, 2007). Since some athletes may feel uncomfortable discussing mental health concerns with coaches or teammates, having a sports psychologist or counselor available ensures they have a safe space for expressing these

challenges (Carr, 2006; Gulliver et al., 2012). Studies suggest that athletes who openly communicate their psychological challenges experience considerable benefits, particularly during the rehabilitation process (Carr, 2006; Brewer et al., 1994). During recovery, consulting both physical therapists and sport psychology consultants enables athletes to address the full spectrum of their recovery needs, from physical rehabilitation to mental health (Crossman, 1997; Espina, 2022). Coaches and athletic trainers should actively remind athletes of the equal importance of mental and physical health, encouraging a balanced approach to recovery (Mohammed et al., 2018; Clement et al., 2015). Integrating mindfulness practices, such as meditation and deep breathing, within rehabilitation can benefit athletes by simultaneously supporting their mental resilience and physical recovery (Mohammed et al., 2018; Latinjak et al., 2019). Given that athletes often view coaches as role models and rely on their guidance, it is critical for coaches to be deliberate and supportive in their advice, fostering a healthy mental environment (Clement et al., 2015; Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998).

Rehabilitation Techniques

Implementing a structured goal-setting plan during rehabilitation can significantly benefit athletes by enhancing self-efficacy and promoting consistent progress in recovery (Evans & Hardy, 2002; Brinkman et al., 2020). To enhance motivation and adherence to rehabilitation, coaches may consider goal-setting a standard requirement, as research demonstrates its positive impact on recovery outcomes (Evans & Hardy, 2002; Brinkman et al., 2020; Brewer et al., 1994). Documenting goals creates a clear roadmap for recovery, fostering optimism and realistic expectations by focusing on small, attainable milestones (Deal & Shields, 2015; Evans & Hardy, 2002). Allowing adequate time for injuries to heal is essential to facilitate a successful and lasting recovery (Kvist & Silbernagel, 2021; Tracey, 2003). Coaches and athletic trainers can further support athletes by encouraging them to write down their goals and check them off as they are

achieved, reinforcing motivation and providing a sense of accomplishment.

Social support is vital in shaping an athlete's psychological response to injury, with evidence indicating that strong social bonds boost motivation and adherence to rehabilitation protocols (Brewer, 1994; Lu & Hsu, 2013). Coaches can enhance team cohesion by prioritizing bonding activities, which foster a sense of belonging and provide essential emotional support during rehabilitation (Deal & Shields, 2015; Brewer et al., 1994). Team bonding activities help injured athletes stay connected with their team, reducing feelings of isolation and reinforcing a supportive environment. The encouragement from teammates and coaches plays a critical role in maintaining motivation among injured athletes. Additionally, coaches are encouraged to engage with athletes' families, ensuring comprehensive support beyond the team environment (Brewer, 1994; Lu & Hsu, 2013). This external support from families can provide additional emotional and motivational reinforcement, contributing to a holistic recovery approach.

Exposure therapy, including sport-specific movements, is effective in helping athletes gradually overcome their fear of reinjury and build confidence in returning to play (Kvist & Silbernagel, 2022; Rodriguez et al., 2019). This progressive approach enables athletes to reintegrate into their sport more comfortably, fostering confidence with each recovery step. Encouraging athletes to voice concerns and explore adaptive coping strategies can enhance self-efficacy, as active engagement in recovery has been shown to improve rehabilitation outcomes (Hsu et al., 2017).

The psychological stress associated with reinjury fear can negatively impact recovery, making it critical to address these fears early to promote a smoother rehabilitation process (Covassin et al., 2015; Hsu et al., 2017). The research underscores that managing psychological aspects like fear is equally crucial to physical therapy in preventing prolonged recovery (Rodriguez et al., 2019). Fear-induced stress can trigger an inflammatory response, potentially prolonging the healing process (Ivarsson et al., 2017). Athletic trainers

and coaches are essential in fostering a supportive environment that attends to both the physical and psychological facets of recovery, promoting a holistic approach to rehabilitation (Kvist & Filbay, 2021; Ivarsson et al., 2017).

Conclusion

This paper highlights the multifaceted psychological factors influencing athletes' responses to injury and rehabilitation. Stress, emotional responses, and social support play a pivotal role in recovery, yet their effects are shaped by factors such as injury severity, individual traits, and situational contexts (Arvinen-Barrow et al., 2017; Brewer et al., 1994). Severe injuries often elicit substantial psychological distress, including anxiety, frustration, and fear of reinjury, which can hinder recovery if left unaddressed. Evidence demonstrates that tailored psychological interventions are vital for promoting resilience and improving recovery outcomes (Clement et al., 2013; Lu & Hsu, 2013).

From a theoretical perspective, these findings align with the cognitive appraisals and stress-coping theory, which emphasize that athletes' perceptions of injury, alongside their access to resources, shape their psychological responses and recovery processes (Wiese-Bjornstal et al., 1998; Brewer et al., 1994). For example, athletes with stronger self-efficacy and positive appraisals of their injury are more likely to engage proactively in rehabilitation, while those with negative appraisals may experience greater psychological setbacks. Research further supports that athletes with robust social support systems and access to mental health professionals demonstrate smoother rehabilitation trajectories and improved long-term well-being, underscoring the importance of a comprehensive psychological care model (Ardern et al., 2013; Podlog et al., 2013).

Practically, this review highlights several actionable strategies for athletic trainers, coaches, and healthcare professionals to enhance rehabilitation outcomes. Encouraging open and empathetic communication between practitioners and athletes fosters trust and helps athletes feel supported in addressing their mental health needs (Lu & Hsu, 2013; Podlog et al., 2013). Incorporating

evidence-based mental skills training, such as mindfulness, breathing exercises, and relaxation techniques, can effectively reduce stress, enhance focus, and promote emotional balance throughout recovery (Jones et al., 2020; Mohammed et al., 2018). Additionally, creating individualized rehabilitation plans that address both physical and psychological challenges ensures that athletes remain engaged, motivated, and confident in their recovery journey. Regular check-ins, particularly for athletes with recurring injuries, are essential for identifying psychological struggles early and preventing isolation, which can exacerbate emotional distress (Ivarsson et al., 2017; Lu & Hsu, 2013).

Rehabilitation is seldom a straightforward process, as athletes must contend with setbacks, pain, and fears of reinjury. Athletic trainers, coaches, and sport psychology consultants play a crucial role in helping athletes reframe challenges as opportunities for growth, fostering resilience and adaptive coping strategies (Brewer et al., 1994; Mohammed et al., 2018). Moreover, promoting self-efficacy by encouraging athletes to take an active role in their rehabilitation decisions can enhance adherence to recovery protocols and boost long-term confidence in their physical and psychological abilities (Ardern et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2017).

In conclusion, addressing psychological factors is essential for optimizing recovery outcomes and fostering a holistic approach to injury rehabilitation. By integrating tailored mental health interventions, encouraging social support, and applying research-driven strategies, practitioners can mitigate the psychological barriers athletes face during recovery. This comprehensive approach not only promotes resilience and emotional well-being but also ensures that athletes are better prepared to return to competition with confidence and long-term stability (Clement et al., 2015; Podlog et al., 2013; Lu & Hsu, 2013).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: A. Espinoza & S. Cho
Writing-original draft preparation: A. Espinoza
Writing-review and editing: S. Cho
Supervision: S. Cho

References

- Ardern, C. L., Taylor, N. F., Feller, J. A., & Webster, K. E. (2013). A systematic review of the psychological factors associated with returning to sport following injury. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, **47**(17), 1120-1126.
- Arvinen-Barrow, M., Massey, W. V., & Hemmings, B. (2014). Role of sport medicine professionals in addressing psychosocial aspects of sport-injury rehabilitation: professional athletes' views. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **49**(6), 764-772.
- Arvinen-Barrow, M., Hurley, D., & Ruiz, M. C. (2017). Transitioning out of professional sport: The psychosocial impact of career-ending injuries among elite Irish rugby football union players. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, **11**(1), 67-84.
- Beauchamp, M. R., Crawford, K. L., & Jackson, B. (2019). Social cognitive theory and physical activity: Mechanisms of behavior change, critique, and legacy. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, **42**, 110-117.
- Bianco, T., Malo, S., & Orlick, T. (1999). Sport injury and illness: Elite skiers describe their experiences. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, **70**(2), 157-169.
- Brewer, B. (1994). Review and critique of models of psychological adjustment to athletic injury. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, **6**(1), 87-100.
- Brewer, B. W. (1998). Adherence to sport injury rehabilitation programs. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, **10**(1), 70-82.
- Brewer, B., Jeffers, K. E., Petitpas, A., & Van Raalte, J. (1994). Perceptions of psychological interventions in the context of sport injury rehabilitation. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, **8**(2), 176-188.
- Brinkman, C., Baez, S., Genoese, F., & Hoch, J. (2020). Use of goal setting to enhance self-efficacy after sports-related injury: A critically appraised topic. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, **29**(4), 1-5.
- Carr, C. M. (2006). Sport psychology: Psychological issues and applications. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America*, **17**(3), 519-535.
- Christakou, A., & Lavalley, D. (2009). Rehabilitation from sports injuries: From theory to practice. *Perspectives in Public Health*, **129**(3), 120-126.
- Clement, D., Arvinen-Barrow, M., & Fetty, T. (2015). Psychosocial responses during different phases of sport-injury rehabilitation: A qualitative study. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **50**(1), 95-104.
- Clement, D., Granquist, M. D., & Arvinen-Barrow, M. (2013). Psychosocial aspects of athletic injuries as perceived by athletic trainers. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **48**(4), 512-521.
- Cohen, R., Nordin, D. S. M., & Abrahamson, E. (2010). Psychology and Sports Rehabilitation. In Sports Rehabilitation and Injury Prevention (eds P. Comfort and E. Abrahamson).
- Connolly, F. R., Aitken, L. M., & Tower, M. (2014). An integrative review of self-efficacy and patient recovery post acute injury. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, **70**(4), 714-728.
- Covassin, T., Beidler, E., Ostrowski, J., & Wallace, J. (2015). Psychosocial aspects of rehabilitation in sports. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*, **34**(2), 199-212.
- Covassin, T., Crutcher, B., Bleecker, A., Heiden, E., Dailey, A., & Yang, J. (2014). Postinjury anxiety and social support among collegiate athletes: A comparison between orthopedic injuries and concussions. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **49**(4), 462-468.
- Crossman, J. (1997). Psychological rehabilitation from sports injuries. *Sports Medicine*, **23**(5), 333-339.
- Deal, C. J., & Shields, C. (2015). Importance of the student therapist/athlete relationship and goal-setting in injury rehabilitation. *The Qualitative*

- Report*, **20(12)**, 1859-1870.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, **11(4)**, 227-268.
- Espina, D. A. (2022). Facilitating psychological recovery of student athletes at post-injury. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, **6(8)**, 454-461.
- Evans, L., & Hardy, L. (2002). Injury rehabilitation: A goal-setting intervention study. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, **73(3)**, 310-319.
- Goddard, K., Roberts, C. M., Byron-Daniel, J., & Woodford, L. (2021). Psychological factors involved in adherence to sport injury rehabilitation: a systematic review. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, **14(1)**, 51-73.
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K. M., & Christensen, H. (2012). Barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking for young elite athletes: a qualitative study. *BMC psychiatry*, **12**, 1-14.
- Hamson-Utley, J. J., Martin, S., & Walters, J. (2008). Athletic trainers' and physical therapists' perceptions of the effectiveness of psychological skills within sport injury rehabilitation programs. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **43(3)**, 258-264.
- Hanson, S. J., McCullagh, P., & Tonymon, P. (1992). The relationship of personality characteristics, life stress, and coping resources to athletic injury. *Journal of sport and exercise psychology*, **14(3)**, 262-272.
- Heaney, C. (2006). Recommendations for successfully integrating sport psychology into athletic therapy. *Athletic Therapy Today*, **11(2)**, 60-62.
- Hsu, C. J., Meierbachtol, A., George, S., & Chmielewski, T. (2017). Fear of reinjury in athletes: implications for rehabilitation. *Sports Health*, **9(2)**, 162-167.
- Ivarsson, A., Johnson, U., Andersen, M. B., Traanaeus, U., Stenling, A., & Lindwall, M. (2017). Psychosocial factors and sport injuries: Meta-analyses for prediction and prevention. *Sports Medicine*, **47(2)**, 353-365.
- Johnson, U. (2000). Short-term psychological intervention: A study of long-term-injured competitive athletes. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, **9(3)**, 207-218.
- Kvist, J., & Filbay, S. (2022). Fear of reinjury following surgical and nonsurgical management of anterior cruciate ligament injury: an exploratory analysis of the NACOX multicenter longitudinal cohort study. *Physical Therapy*, **102(2)**, pzab273.
- Kvist, J., & Silbernagel, K. G. (2022). Fear of movement and reinjury in sports medicine: relevance for rehabilitation and return to sport. *Physical therapy*, **102(2)**, pzab272.
- Latinjak, A. T., Hatzigeorgiadis, A., Comoutos, N., & Hardy, J. (2019). Speaking clearly... 10 years on: The case for an integrative perspective of self-talk in sport. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, **8(4)**, 353.
- Lu, F. J., & Hsu, Y. (2013). Injured athletes' rehabilitation beliefs and subjective well-being: The contribution of hope and social support. *Journal of Athletic Training*, **48(1)**, 92-98.
- Mahood, C., Perry, M., Gallagher, P., & Sole, G. (2020). Chaos and confusion with confidence: Managing fear of reinjury after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Physical Therapy in Sport*, **45**, 145-154.
- Madrigal, L., & Gill, D. L. (2014). Psychological responses of Division I female athletes throughout injury recovery: A case study approach. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, **8(3)**, 276-298.
- Mann, B. J., Grana, W. A., Indelicato, P. A., O'Neill, D. F., & George, S. Z. (2007). A survey of sports medicine physicians regarding psychological issues in patient-athletes. *The American journal of sports medicine*, **35(12)**, 2140-2147.
- Masten, R., Stražar, K., Žilavec, I., Tušak, M., &

- Kandare, M. (2014). Psychological response of athletes to injury. *Kinesiology*, **46**(1), 127-134.
- McVeigh, F., & Pack, S. (2015). An exploration of sports rehabilitators' and athletic rehabilitation therapists' views on fear of reinjury after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, **24**(2), 140-150.
- Mohammed, W., Pappous, A., & Sharma, D. (2018). Effect of Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) in increasing pain tolerance and improving the mental health of injured athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, **9**, 722.
- Nicholls, A. R., Taylor, N. J., Carroll, S., & Perry, J. L. (2016). The development of a new sport-specific classification of coping and a meta-analysis of the relationship between different coping strategies and moderators on sporting outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, **7**, 1674.
- Nippert, A. H., & Smith, A. M. (2008). Psychologic stress related to injury and impact on sport performance. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America*, **19**(2), 399-418.
- Podlog, L., & Eklund, R. C. (2007). The psychosocial aspects of a return to sport following serious injury: A review of the literature from a self-determination perspective. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, **8**(4), 535-556.
- Podlog, L., & Eklund, R. C. (2010). Returning to competition after a serious injury: the role of self-determination. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **28**(8), 819-831.
- Podlog, L., Wadey, R., Stark, A., Lochbaum, M., Hannon, J., & Newton, M. (2013). An adolescent perspective on injury recovery and the return to sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, **14**(4), 437-446.
- Reese, L., Pittsinger, R., & Yang, J. (2012). Effectiveness of psychological intervention following sport injury. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, **1**(2), 71-79.
- Rodriguez, R. M., Marroquin, A., & Cosby, N. L. (2019). Reducing fear of reinjury and pain perception in athletes with first-time anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions by implementing imagery training. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, **28**(4), 385-389.
- Sáez de Heredia, R. A., Ramirez, A., & Lazaro, I. (2004). The effect of psychological response on recovery of sport injury. *Research in Sports Medicine*, **12**, 15-31.
- Santi, G., & Pietrantonio, L. (2013). Psychology of sport injury rehabilitation: a review of models and interventions. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise*, **8**(4), 1029-1044.
- Smith, A. M. (1996). Psychological impact of injuries in athletes. *Sports Medicine*, **22**(6), 391-405.
- Smith, A. M., Scott, S., & Wiese, D. M. (1990). The psychological effects of sports injuries: Coping. *Sports Medicine*, **9**(6), 352-369.
- Tracey, J. (2003). The Emotional Response to the Injury and Rehabilitation Process. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, **15**(4), 279-293.
- Turner, S., Langdon, J., Shaver, G., Graham, V., Naugle, K., & Buckley, T. (2017). Comparison of psychological response between concussion and musculoskeletal injury in collegiate athletes. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*, **6**(3), 277.
- Walker, N., Thatcher, J., & Lavallee, D. (2007). Psychological responses to injury in competitive sport: A critical review. *The Journal of The Royal Society for the Promotion of Health*, **127**(4), 174-180.
- Wiese-Bjornstal, D. M., Smith, A. M., Shaffer, S. M., & Morrey, M. A. (1998). An integrated model of response to sport injury: Psychological and sociological dynamics. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, **10**(1), 46-69.